

## The Mill Road Conservation Area - Draft Appraisal: Summary of Responses

1 = action taken

2 = not within the remit of this document

3 = no action taken

NB: Where the same comments have been made by different methods, these have only been included once e.g. where emails are making the same points as Comments Forms.

	Respondent	Comment	Response	Action
1	English Heritage East of England Region	(i) The comments responding to the original consultation still apply. (ii) Suggest that a number of Burnside buildings are shown as Positive Unlisted Buildings on the Townscape Analysis Map 2. (iii) Suggest that the row of mature willow trees on the east side of the brook are included within the Conservation Area boundary and identified as an Important Tree Group on the Townscape Analysis Map 2.	(i) Noted (ii) Reported to Environment Scrutiny Committee (iii) Reported to Environment Scrutiny Committee	(i) 3 (ii) 3 (iii) 3
2	County Strategic Planning	Comments regarding traffic calming measures and public realm issues described within the document	Noted	3
3	PACT	(i) No matters of principle to add to previous representation for original consultation. (ii) 'Former Brunswick School' should be referred to as 'former New Street Primary School'. (iii) The 'Chinese Community Centre' was the former Howard Mallett Youth Club and has been owned for some time by Citylife. It has never been solely occupied by the Chinese Community	(i) Noted (ii) Alterations made to text (iii) Alterations made to text	(i) 3 (ii) 1 (iii) 1
5	Savills on behalf of ARU	There are a number of general points in the document which are recommended for review prior to adoption of the Appraisal (i) The Appraisal should be more explicit in defining the 'significance' of the area to meet the requirements of	(i) Alterations made to text	(i) 1

		<p>PPS5.</p> <p>(ii) The document principally relates to the residential nature of the area when it is not the sole contributor to the character.</p> <p>(iii) There should be more mention of the wider context, for example the relationship between the Conservation Area and East Road.</p> <p>(iv) The formation of 'zones' may assist in explaining the characteristics of particular areas.</p> <p>(v) There are some discrepancies between the Eastern Gate SPD and the buildings that are picked out for special reference within the Appraisal.</p> <p>(vi) Other specific points of reference within the document regarding particular features, especially where the Conservation Area meets the East Road section.</p>	<p>(ii) Alterations made to text</p> <p>(iii) Alterations made to text</p> <p>(iv) Noted</p> <p>(v) Alterations made to text</p> <p>(vi) Alterations made to text</p>	<p>(ii) 1</p> <p>(iii) 1</p> <p>(iv) 3</p> <p>(v) 1</p> <p>(vi) 1</p>
6	Januarys on behalf of NHS Cambridgeshire	<p>(i) Brookfields Hospital to Brooks Road, on the north side of Mill Road not of the same character as other parts of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>(ii) There is no justification or benefit to be gained from the inclusion of this area within the Conservation Area boundary due to the quality of some of the buildings and the number of development sites surrounding it.</p>	<p>(i) There are pockets of buildings of different character in the majority of the City's Conservation Areas</p> <p>(ii) The points raised do not outweigh the benefits to be gained from the inclusion of the area</p>	<p>(i) 3</p> <p>(ii) 3</p>
7	Friends of Mill Road Cemetery	Previous comments still apply. In favour of extension.	Noted	3
8	Friends of Cherry Hinton Brook	In favour of extension	Noted	3
9	East Mill Road Action Group	Previous comments still apply. In favour of extension	Noted	3
10	10 emailed responses from local residents	All in favour of proposed extension	Noted	3